

Home Search Collections Journals About Contact us My IOPscience

Raman and photoluminescence spectra of two-dimensional nanocrystallites of monolayer ${\rm WS}_2$ and ${\rm WSe}_2$

This content has been downloaded from IOPscience. Please scroll down to see the full text. 2016 2D Mater. 3 025016 (http://iopscience.iop.org/2053-1583/3/2/025016) View the table of contents for this issue, or go to the journal homepage for more

Download details:

IP Address: 159.226.228.53 This content was downloaded on 28/04/2016 at 01:32

Please note that terms and conditions apply.

2D Materials

CrossMark

RECEIVED 20 September 2015

REVISED 22 March 2016

ACCEPTED FOR PUBLICATION 6 April 2016

PUBLISHED 28 April 2016

Raman and photoluminescence spectra of two-dimensional nanocrystallites of monolayer WS_2 and WSe_2

Wei Shi, Miao-Ling Lin, Qing-Hai Tan, Xiao-Fen Qiao, Jun Zhang and Ping-Heng Tan

State Key Laboratory of Superlattices and Microstructures, Institute of Semiconductors, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100083, People's Republic of China

E-mail: phtan@semi.ac.cn

Keywords: phonon quantum confinement, Raman spectroscopy, photoluminescence, two-dimensional nanocrystallites, two-dimensional material, transition metal dichalcogenides

Abstract

PAPER

Defects strongly modify optical properties in pristine and nanostructured two-dimensional (2D) materials. The ion implantation technique can be used to gradually introduce defects in semiconductor to obtain nanocrystallites (NCs) with different domain sizes. Here, we present a detailed study on the Raman and photoluminescence spectra of 2D NCs of monolayer WS₂ (1L WS₂) and 1L WSe₂ prepared by ion implantation. With increasing ion dosages, both E' and A' modes of 1L WS₂ exhibit a downshift in frequency and an asymmetrical broadening toward lower frequency, while the A' mode in 1L WSe₂ NCs exhibits an opposite behavior, showing asymmetrical broadening and peak shift toward higher frequency. This behavior is well understood by phonon quantum confinement of the out-of-plane optical branch whose frequency displays a minimum at Γ in pristine 1L WSe₂. After the ion implantation, phonons from the Brillouin zone boundary are revealed in the Raman spectra, and the corresponding assignments are identified by resonant Raman spectra at low temperature. The defects can act as trapping centers of free carriers, which result in a sharp decrease of photoluminescence (PL) emission from A exciton with increasing ion dosage. The PL peak from A-exciton in both 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ NCs blueshifts with increasing the ion dosage due to the quantum confinement effect of smaller NC size. The ion-implantation results in a new emission peak of defect-bound neutral excitons below the A-exciton peak in both 1LWS2 and 1LWS22 NCs. Its relative intensity to the A exciton increases with increasing the ion dosage and finally vanishes along with the A exciton. These results offer a route toward tailoring the optical properties of 2D materials by controlling the size of 2D NCs.

1. Introduction

Two-dimensional (2D) transition metal dichalcogenides (TMDs) sparked wide interests in the world due to their remarkable electronic and optical properties [1, 2]. The 2D features, sizable bandgaps and recent advances in the synthesis, characterization and device fabrication of the $MX_2(M = Mo, W; X = S, Se, Te)$ make TMDs attractive in nanoelectronics and optoelectronics [3, 4]. However, defects may be introduced during the synthesis and fabrication process. The quality of the crystal lattice will be significantly affected by structure defects, thus affects the MX_2 -based devices performance. Lattice point defects can act as very efficient trapping centers for electrons, holes and

boint defects can act as decreasing for electrons, holes and $MoS_2 NCs_2$

excitons in semiconductors, and crucially influence the transport and optical properties of the host material [5, 6]. Moreover, defects can break the perfect lattice structure of crystal and form small-sized nanocrystallites (NCs) [7, 8]. The fundamental momentum conservation requirement $q \sim 0$ for the Raman process is relaxed for NCs and phonons away from Γ will be involved in the Raman scattering [9]. This usually gives a downshift and asymmetric broadening of the Raman peak for various NCs, such as nanowires, nanodots and defective graphene [4, 9–18]. It becomes a common concept that the Raman peaks in NCs will exhibit a redshift in frequency with decreasing the NCs domain size. However, for the 1L-MoS₂ NCs, [4, 18] with decreasing the NC domain size, the E' mode exhibits a downshift in frequency and asymmetrical broadening toward lower frequency, while the A'_1 mode exhibits an opposite behavior, showing asymmetrical broadening and peak shift toward higher frequency. The results are attributed to the opposite phonon dispersion trends away from the Γ point for the two modes [4, 18].

1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ are another two typical TMD materials. The Raman spectrum of 1L WS₂ is dominated by two first-order peaks assigned as E' (357.7 cm⁻¹) and A'₁ (419 cm⁻¹), while the E' and A'₁ modes in 1L WSe₂ are degenerate at 250 cm⁻¹ [19]. The resonant Raman scattering (RRS) has been recognized as an important method for probing phonon spectra of 1L and multilayer TMDs [4, 20–23]. It provides an avenue to get insight into multi-phonon process due to the relaxation of selection rules and a huge amplification of Raman intensity. Moreover, it has been reported that phonons from the boundary of Brillouin zone (BZ) may be activated by defects, [4, 17, 18, 24] which paves a way to get rich phonon information from the intriguing 2D materials.

In this work the ion-implantation technique is used to gradually introduce defects in 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ to obtain corresponding NCs with different sizes. We present a detailed report on the Raman and photoluminescence (PL) spectra of 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ NCs. For 1L WS₂, both E' and A' modes show a redshift with increasing ion dosage, while the A'_1 of 1L WSe2 shifts toward higher frequency side with increasing ion dosage. Besides the E' and A'_1 modes shift and broadening, several defect-induced peaks arising from overtone, combination of phonons at BZ boundary in 1L WS₂ are observed. The corresponding assignments are further confirmed by RRS at low temperature. Both the experimental results of 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ NCs can be well reproduced by the phonon confinement model originally proposed by Richter, Wang and Ley (hereafter this model is referred as the RWL model) [9]. We also studied the role of defects on the PL of 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ NCs. A defect-related peak below the free A-exciton peak is observed for both 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ NCs, which is attributed to the defect-induced bound-exciton. The intensity of the defect-related peak relative to that of A exciton increases with increasing ion dosage and finally vanishes along with the A exciton, revealing the evolution of size and lattice structure of 1L WS2 and 1L WSe2 NCs with increasing ion dosage.

2. Experimental details

1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ flakes were prepared from bulk crystals onto the Si substrates capped by a 90 nm thick SiO₂ layer using the mechanical exfoliation method. The layer number (N) was identified by ultralow-frequency Raman spectroscopy and PL spectra [25]. In the experiments, all the optical measurements were

undertaken in backscattering configuration using a Jobin-Yvon HR800 system equipped with a liquidnitrogen-cooled charge-coupled detector. The Raman measurements were undertaken with a \times 100 objective lens (NA = 0.90) and a 1800 lines mm^{-1} grating at room temperature, while a \times 50 long-working-distance objective lens (NA = 0.55) was used for Raman measurements at low temperature. The laser excitation wavelengths are 594 nm from a He-Ne laser; 568, 530.8 and 514.5 nm from a Kr⁺ laser; and 488 nm from an Ar⁺ laser. A low laser power of ~40 μ W is used to avoid heating and damaging. The Montana cryostat system was employed to cool the samples down to 5 K under a vacuum of 0.4 mTorr. Mignuzzi et al introduced defects in monolayer MoS₂ in an extremely controlled manner using a time-of-flight secondary ion mass spectrometry instrument with an ion dose distribution uniform to better than 99.9999% [18]. In the present work, the Ar⁺ implantation experiment was performed with an LC-4 type vacuum system. Several works on bulk graphite and few layer graphenes had been completed based on this system [26, 27]. The kinetic energy of Ar⁺ ions is 100 keV. The samples were subjected to nine different ion dosages, 1×10^{12} , 5×10^{12} , 1×10^{13} , 3×10^{13} , 5×10^{13} , 8×10^{13} , 1×10^{14} , 3×10^{14} and 5×10^{14} cm⁻², respectively. The corresponding samples are denoted as #n-NCa for ion-implanted 1L WS₂ and #n-NCb for ion-implanted 1L WSe₂, respectively, where *n* = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9. The domain size was analyzed by fitting the E' and A'_1 mode of 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ NCs at each ion dosage.

3. Results and discussions

Figure 1 shows the representative Raman spectra of 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ exposed to different ion dosages. For both 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂, the intrinsic E' mode is usually overlapped by the 2LA(M) mode in the Raman spectra under resonant condition [4, 23]. In order to get the intrinsic E' and A'_1 modes, the 488 nm excitation is used to obtain the non-resonant Raman signal of these pristine and ion-implanted samples. The ion-implantation results in the formation of NCs of 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂. Figure 1(a) depicts the Raman spectra of pristine and NCs of 1LWS₂. With increasing ion dosage, a decrease in intensity and a redshift in frequency are observed for both E' and A'_1 modes, indicating that the crystal quality become worse and worse with increasing ion dosage. Moreover, both of them have an asymmetric tail towards lower wavenumber side with increasing ion dosage. When the ion dosage increases from 0 (pristine) to 1×10^{14} (#7-NCa), the E' mode exhibits a refshift of 1.1 cm^{-1} while the A'_1 mode redshifts 1.5 cm⁻¹. Figure 1(b) depicts the spectra of 1L WSe₂ NCs. The E' and A'_1 modes are degenerated in pristine 1L WSe₂ [4, 19]. A polarization Raman measurement indicates that the intensity of



Figure 1. Evolution of Raman spectra of ion-implanted (a) $1L WS_2$ and (b) $1L WSe_2$ with different ion dosages in the E' and A'₁ ranges. The dotted lines are guides to the eye. The excitation wavelength is 488 nm.

the E' mode is only about 1/8 of that of the A'₁ mode, and thus, the evolution of Raman spectra with increasing ion dosage is dominated by the A'₁ mode. Obviously, the A'₁ mode of 1L WS₂ NCs exhibits an opposite shift with respect to 1L WS₂ NCs with increasing ion dosage. The A'₁ mode of #7-NCb blueshifts 2.5 cm⁻¹ with respect to the pristine one. The intensity of the A'₁ mode in 1L WS₂ NCs decrease more quickly than that of Raman modes in 1L WS₂ NCs with increasing ion dosage. This indicates that the lattice structure of 1L WSe₂ is easily damaged by ion implantation with respect to 1L WS₂. For #8-NCa and #8-NCb, the E' and A'₁ modes almost vanish with only very broadened and weak signal.

Figure 1 suggests that ion-implantation results in peak shift, broadening and profile asymmetry of the Raman bands of 1L WS₂ NCs and 1L WSe₂ NCs. The softening in frequency and an asymmetric tail towards the lower frequency side of the E' and A'₁ modes in 1L WS₂ NCs had been observed in various NCs [9, 11–14, 16]. NCs induced by ion implantation in 1L WS₂ can make the fundamental $q \sim 0$ Raman selection rule relaxed, allowing participation of phonons away from Γ . This phonon uncertainty in NCs can be taken into account in the Raman process by a phonon weighting function W(r, L). A Gaussian-type profile of W(r, L) has been widely used in NCs as follows: [28, 29]

$$W(r, L) = \exp(-\alpha r^2/L^2), \qquad (1)$$

where *L* is the domain size of NCs, α is an adjustable confinement coefficient to determine how fast the phonon amplitude decays to the NC boundary. $\alpha = 8\pi^2$ has been widely used in the literatures, [9, 12–14] which gives too strong confinement. Therefore, other α values had also been used to understand Raman spectra of various NCs [4, 12, 13, 18].

In order to quantitatively analyze peak shift and asymmetrical broadening of Raman peaks in NCs, RWL model has been proposed based on W(r, L). The corresponding Raman intensity in the RWL model is given by

$$I(\omega) = \int \frac{|C(0, \mathbf{q})|^2}{(\omega - \omega(\mathbf{q}))^2 + (\Gamma_0/2)^2} d^3q, \qquad (2)$$

where $|C(0, \mathbf{q})|^2$ is a Fourier coefficient of W(r, L), Γ_0 is the natural broadening, $\omega(q)$ is the phonon dispersion curve. The integration is over the BZ. In the case of a nanodot, $d^3q \propto q^2 dq$, while for a nanowire with length \gg diameter $d^3q \propto qdq$. For 2D NCs with a domain size of L_D , $d^3q = 2\pi q dq$. Once $|C(0, \mathbf{q})|^2$ is chosen for 2D NCs, for an example, to be exp $(-q^2L_D^2/2\alpha)$ resulting from a Gaussian-type profile of W(r, L) in equation (1), the Raman line-shape of 2D NCs is composed of superimposing Lorentzian peaks centered at $\omega(q)$ weighted by $C(0, \mathbf{q})$ and is given by

$$I(\omega) = \int \frac{\exp(-q^2 L_{\rm D}^2 / 2\alpha)}{(\omega - \omega(\mathbf{q}))^2 + (\Gamma_0 / 2)^2} 2\pi q \, \mathrm{d}q.$$
(3)

The phonon confinement effect in 2D NCs described by equation (3) quantifies the $q \neq 0$ phonons participating in the scattering. If two branches of phonon dispersion curves of a 2D crystal degenerated at Γ contribute to a Raman mode, $q \neq 0$ phonons of two branches must be taken into account to reveal the effect of phonon confinement on the lineshape of Raman modes. The A'₁ mode is from an out-of-plane optical (ZO₂) branch. The E' mode is from two in-



Figure 2. (a) The phonon branches [30] of 1L WS₂ related with the E' and A'₁ modes. (b) The experimental (crosses) and fitted (green solid lines) results of two 1L WS₂ NCs. (c) The phonon branches [31] of 1L WSe₂ related with the E' and A'₁ modes. (d) The experimental (crosses) and fitted (green solid lines) results of two 1L WSe₂ NCs. The blue and red dashed lines are the calculated curves of the E' and A'₁ modes, respectively, and the gray dashed–dotted lines are fits with Lorentzian peaks to the zone-boundary peaks. The spectra are normalized to A'₁.

plane optical branches, which are degenerated at Γ and split into one in-plane longitudinal optical (LO₂) branch and one in-plane transverse optical (TO₂) branch. The dispersions of 1L WS₂ in the E' and A'_1 region are taken from the work of Molina et al [30] as depicted in figure 2(a). The dispersion is anisotropic along Γ -M and Γ -K. To minimize this effect on the experimental results, each branch is obtained by averaging the dispersions between the high symmetry Γ -M and Γ -K directions. From equation (3) we calculated the line shapes of the E' and A'_1 modes of 1L WS₂ NCs. It is noteworthy that the physical origin of phonon confinement effect is same for the two modes, and therefore, the same confinement coefficient α must be used for both the E' and A'_1 modes in 1L WS₂ NCs. We found that $\alpha = 8$ gives a good fitting to the profile and peak shift of the A'_1 mode for all the 1L WS₂ NCs, and the representative fitting results for #2-NCa and #7NCa are shown in figure 2(b), and the corresponding domain size L_D are 10 nm and 2.3nm, respectively. However, for the E' mode, its asymmetric tail extends down to about 335 cm⁻¹, and two additional modes must be included to get a good fit to the E' profile, as had done in the case of 1L MoS₂ NCs [4, 18]. The origin of the new modes activated by the ion-implantation will be discussed later.

Figure 2(c) shows the phonon dispersion of 1L WSe₂ [31]. It is quite different from that of 1L WS₂. For example, in contrast to 1L WS₂, the ZO₂ branch of 1L WSe₂ increases in frequency away from Γ . The RWL model is also used to understand the E' and A'₁ modes of 1L WSe₂ NCs produced by ion implantation. Because the A'₁ mode is much stronger than the E' mode, their intensity ratio (1/8) keeps as a constant in the fitting. $\alpha = 5$ gives a good fitting to the peak position of the A'₁ mode and the fitted domain sizes L_D are 14 nm and 4 nm for #2-NCb and #7-NCb,



respectively. The representative fitting results are shown in figure 2(d). In contrast to the Raman spectra of pristine 1L WSe₂, additional modes are also observed in the spectral range of the A'_1 mode. The origin of the new modes in 1L WSe₂ NCs will be also discussed later. When the domain size decreases from 14 to 4 nm, the A'_1 mode shows a blueshift of 1.7 cm⁻¹ with a broadening of 2.4 cm⁻¹. It is clear that the opposite trend of phonon frequency away from Γ of the ZO₂ branch between 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ results in the opposite shift of the A'_1 mode in the corresponding NCs with increasing the ion dosage.

The phonon modes of 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ have been well known based on the theoretical and experimental studies [4, 19, 30, 31]. Therefore, the new modes observed in the Raman spectra of ionimplanted 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ mainly originate from phonons away from Γ with high density of states. The first-order phonon mode can be activated by the relaxation of selection rule by disorders or finite domain size. The fundamental $q \sim 0$ selection rule can be fulfilled for overtone and two-phonon combination modes by combining two phonons with opposite wavevectors. Although both of them are usually weak, they can be significantly enhanced in intensity and be clearly observed in the Raman experiments under the resonant condition [32]. There are two kinds of the two-phonon combination modes, i.e., the subtraction mode and addition mode. If the frequency of two fundamental modes is ω_1 and ω_2 ($\omega_1 > \omega_2$), the frequency of the subtraction mode and addition mode is $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ and $\omega_1 + \omega_2$. The intensity of a two-phonon



mode at $\omega_1 - \omega_2$ will be strongly dependent on temperature as $n(\omega_1, T)[n(\omega_2, T) + 1]$ for creation of a phonon ω_2 and annihilation of ω_1 , where $n(\omega, T) = (e^{\hbar\omega/kT} - 1)^{-1}$ is the phonon occupation probability [10]. Therefore, Raman spectrum at low temperature can be used for the identification of twophonon subtraction mode [4, 33]. Therefore, in order to reveal the new modes appearing in 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ after ion implantation, we measured the corresponding Resonant Raman spectra and lowtemperature Raman spectra.

As the 514.5 nm (2.41 eV) excitation is close to the B exciton for 1L WS₂, [34] it is used to probe the resonant Raman spectra of pristine and ion-implanted 1L WS₂ at room temperature, as shown in figure 3(a). The non-resonant Raman spectra of pristine 1L WS₂ is dominated by E' and A'₁ modes, while under the resonant condition, more intense modes are found at ~176 cm⁻¹, ~193 cm⁻¹, ~204 cm⁻¹, ~214 cm⁻¹, ~231 cm⁻¹, ~265 cm⁻¹, ~298 cm⁻¹, ~311 cm⁻¹,

 \sim 325 cm⁻¹. The most remarkable feature is the intensity enhancement of Raman peak at ~353 cm¹, which overlaps with the E' mode. Similar results have been reported and some of them have been assigned [4, 19, 35]. For ion-implanted 1L WS₂, some additional modes arise because of the relaxation of Raman selection rule in the NCs. Two obvious peaks are observed at $\sim 148 \text{ cm}^{-1}$ and $\sim 386 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. The assignment will be done later. All the modes in the ionimplanted sample exhibit a decrease in peak intensity due to its worse crystal quality. Two peaks at ~204 cm^{-1} and ~214 cm^{-1} are too weak to be observed, while the peak at ~ 176 cm⁻¹ become stronger relative to the intensity of E' and A'_1 modes. Similarly, resonant Raman spectroscopy of pristine 1L WSe2 and 1L WSe₂ NCs are performed using a 594 nm laser line at room temperature, as shown in figure 3(b). There are no additional modes appear in ion-implanted 1L WSe2 with respect to the pristine one. However, relative to the mode at 260 cm^{-1} , several modes become



stronger in intensity after ion-implantation. In the spectral region between 80 and 175 cm⁻¹, the peak at 132 cm⁻¹ is too weak to be observed in pristine 1L WSe₂, but is clearly observed in ion-implanted one. The peak at ~157 cm⁻¹ can be obviously resolved from the background signal.

Based on the frequency match between the experimental values and the theoretical ones from the calculated phonon dispersion curves, one can assign the observed one-phonon Raman modes at zone center and zone edge, the overtone of the fundamental modes and the two-phonon subtraction and addition modes. To identify the the two-phonon subtraction and addition modes, resonant Raman spectra of 1L WS₂ NCs and 1L WSe₂ NCs are measured at 300 and 5 K, as shown in figures 4(a) and (b), respectively. The Raman modes at 5 K show a blueshift relative to the corresponding modes at 300 K, and the two-phonon subtraction mode should vanish at 4 K. For simplicity, the peak positions mentioned below refer to the peaks at 5 K. The Raman peak of Si from substrate is indicated by asterisk in figure 4. Most of the Raman peaks in pristine 1L WS2 and 1L WSe2 have been assigned previously based on the results at room temperature [4, 19, 36]. The peak at ~177 cm⁻¹ in 1L WS₂ is previously attributed to a two-phonon subtraction mode of $E'(M)^{TO_2}$ -LA(M) [19, 36]. It was also assigned to the LA(M) mode recently [35]. Besides, the peak at \sim 149 cm⁻¹ in 1L WS₂ NCs can be denoted as ZA(M) or E''(M) ^{TO₁}-LA(M) based on frequency match and the phonon dispersion [30]. Based on the presence of the peaks at 149 and 177 cm⁻¹ at 5K, the two modes are assigned as ZA(M) and LA(M), rather than $E''(M)^{TO_1}$ -LA(M) and $E'(M)^{TO_2}$ -LA(M), respectively. The peak at \sim 231 cm⁻¹ disappears at 5 K, confirming its assignment of A₁'(M)-LA(M) [35]. Similarly, for 1L WSe₂,

Table 1. The assignment of each Raman mode observed in the resonant Raman spectra of $1L WS_2 NCs$ and $1L WSe_2 NCs$ based on phonon dispersion curves [30, 31] and frequency match. All peak positions are in cm⁻¹.

1LWS ₂ NCs			1LWSe2NCs		
300 K	5 K	Assignment	300 K	5 K	Assignment
148	149	ZA(M)		100	TA(M)
176	177	LA(M) ^a		110	ZA(M)
188	189	LA(K) ^b	131	132	LA(M)
193	194		136	136	
	196		157	159	
231	232	$A'_{l}(M) - LA(M)^{a}$		201	2TA(M)
	290	2ZA(M)	219	221	$TA(M) + ZA(M)^{c}$
298	299	$E''(\Gamma)^{c}$	231	233	LA(M) + TA(M)
311	314	LA(M) + TA(M)	239	242	$LA(M) + ZA(M)^{c}$
324	325	$E''(M)^{TO_1c}$	245	247	$E'(M)^{LO_2}$
345	347	$E'(M)^{TO_2c}$	250	252	$E'(\Gamma) A'_1(\Gamma)^c$
353	354	$2LA(M)^{a}$	258	261	$A_2''(M)^c$
357	359	$E'(\Gamma)^a$	261	264	$2LA(M)^{d}$
	367	$E'(M)^{LO_2}$	263	269	$A'_1(M)^c$
385	387	$A_2'(M)$		272	
	408	$A'_1(M)$		318	$E''(M)^{LO_1} + TA(M)$
418	420	$A'_1(\Gamma)^a$		345	$E'(M)^{LO_2} + TA(M)$
	553	$A'_1(M) + ZA(M)$	375	378	$E'(M)^{LO_2} + LA(M)^{c}$
583	585	$A_1'(M) + LA(M)^a$	394	398	3LA(M) ^d
	618	$E''(M)^{LO_1} + 2LA(M)$	398	402	$A_1'(M) + LA(M)^d$
	638	$E''(M)^{LO_1} + 2ZA(M)$		434	$E'(M)^{LO_2} + 2TA(M)$
702	704	4LA(M) ^a		474	$E'(M)^{LO_2} + 2ZA(M)$
				492	$E'(M)^{TO_2} + 2LA(M)$
				512	$E'(M)^{LO_2} + 2LA(M)$
			535	539	$2A'_{1}(M)^{c}$

^a Reference [35].

^b Reference [37].

^c Reference [4].

^d Reference [19].

Table 2. The domain size (L_D) of 1L WS2 NCs and 1L WSe2 NCs prepared by ion implantation with different iondosages. The fitted α are 8 and 5 for 1L WS2 NCs and 1L WSe2 NCs, respectively.

Ion dosage	1×10^{12}	$5 imes 10^{12}$	1×10^{13}	3×10^{13}	5×10^{13}	8×10^{13}	1×10^{14}
$L_{D,WS_2}(nm)$	12	10	8	6	5	3	2.3
$L_{D,WSe_2}(nm)$	16	14	11	9	6	5	4

the peaks ~136 and ~159 cm⁻¹ can not be assigned as $A'_1(M)$ -LA(M) and $E'(M)^{LO_2}$ -TA(M). Detailed fitting of the resonant peaks at 5 K near the LA(M) and E' peaks in 1L WS₂ are shown in the left and right insets of figure 4(a), respectively. The corresponding fitting of the resonant peaks near the E' peak in 1L WSe₂ are shown in the inset of figure 4(b). The assignment of the observed modes in 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ based on the frequency match and the corresponding phonon dispersion curves is summarized in table 1.

Defect engineering had been used to modulate the optical properties of TMDs, and the strong and stable PL emission from defect sites had been realized in 1L MoS₂ [38]. We performed micro-PL measurements of 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ NCs to probe the role of defects on the corresponding PL spectra. Figure 5(a) shows the representative PL spectra of 1L WS₂ under different ion dosages. The dependence of A exciton intensity

on the ion dosage is summarized in figure 5(b). The PL spectrum of pristine 1L WS2 is dominated by A exciton (~2 eV), which exhibits significant intensity enhancement relative to bulk WS2 because of its direct bandgap semiconductor. The ion implantation can induce some defects and disordered structures in 1L WS₂, which can act as carrier trapping center and weaken the PL intensity of the A exciton. Indeed, even the ion dosage is as small as 1×10^{12} (#1-NCa), the A exciton intensity shows a sharp decrease while the corresponding Raman spectrum is very similar to that of the pristine one. With increasing ion dosage, the PL intensity continues to decrease. The larger the implantation ion dosage is, the worse the crystal quality of 1L WS₂ is and NCs act as efficient carrier trapping centers and quench the PL emission from 1L WS₂ NCs. When the ion dosage increases up to 1×10^{14} (#7-NCa), the PL

emission from 1L WS₂ NCs completely vanishes. However, the Raman feature is preserved with half intensity of the A'_1 mode observed in pristine 1L WS₂ (see figure 1(a)). This means that the crystal quality of 1L WS₂ NCs is not so bad although the corresponding PL emission is quenched by the carrier trapping centers. Thus, PL emission from A exciton is highly sensitive to the crystal quality while Raman spectroscopy is very sensitive to detect the presence of TMD NCs in the sample. Another feature in 1L WS₂ NCs is that the PL emission of the A exciton blueshifts with decreasing domain size of 1L WS₂ NCs, as indicated by the dashed line in 5(a). This is attributed to the quantum confinement effect of the A exciton in 1L WS₂ NCs, which have been widely observed in semiconductor quantum wells, wires and dots [39]. On the other hand, the defects in 1L WS₂ induced by ion implantation introduce a new peak (X^D) near ~1.88 eV, and its intensity relative to A exciton increases with increasing ion dosage. This peak is attributed to PL emission from defect-bound neutral excitons. Similar peaks had been observed in 1L WS2 and 1L MoS2 using plasma treatments [6]. The intensity of X^D relative to the A exciton increases up to a maximum in #4-NCa with ion dosage of 3×10^{13} , and then decreases and finally vanishes along with the A exciton. Therefore, the X^D intensity relative to the A exciton can also be used as a signature of crystal quality of 1L WS₂. Figure 5(c) shows the representative PL spectra of 1L WSe2 under different ion dosages, and the dependence of A exciton intensity on the ion dosage is summarized in figure 5(d). Similar results are obtained. The confinement effect of the A exciton in 1L WSe2 NCs is less significant than that in 1LWS₂ NCs.

4. Conclusion

In summary, we report on the Raman and PL spectra of 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ NCs produced by ion implantation. Although WS2 and WSe2 are typical TMDs with same crystal symmetry, their A'_1 of NCs exhibit opposite peak shift and asymmetric profile relative to the modes of pristine crystals, which can be well understood by phonon dispersion trend of the corresponding phonon branches away from Γ and the quantum confinement effect of phonons in the Raman scattering. This provides us a method to probe the trend of a phonon branches away from Γ for 2D materials and further for other materials. Several phonons from BZ boundary are observed in the Raman spectra of NCs, and are further confirmed by resonant Raman measurements at low temperature. For the PL study of 1L WS₂ and 1L WSe₂ NCs, a peak originates from defect-bound excitons is observed below A-exciton peak, and its intensity relative to A exciton increases with increasing ion dosage. It is found that PL emission from A exciton is highly sensitive to the crystal quality while Raman

spectroscopy is very sensitive to detect the presence of TMD NCs in the sample. Our results not only provide a simple method to detect the crystal quality of 2D materials, but also offer a route toward tailoring the optical properties of 2D materials by controllable defect engineering.

Acknowledgments

This work was supported by the National Natural Science Foundation of China, Grant Nos. 11225421, 11434010, 11474277, and 11574305. J. Z. acknowledge financial support from the National Young 1000 Talent Plan.

References

- [1] Mak K F, Lee C, Hone J, Shan J and Heinz T F 2010 *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **105** 136805
- [2] Chhowalla M, Shin H S, Eda G, Li L J, Loh K P and Zhang H 2013 Nat. Chem. 5 263–75
- [3] Wang Q H, Kalantar-Zadeh K, Kis A, Coleman J N and Strano M S 2012 *Nat. Nanotechnol.* **7** 699–712
- [4] Zhang X, Qiao X F, Shi W, Wu J B, Jiang D S and Tan P H 2015 Chem. Soc. Rev. 44 2757–85
- [5] Tongay S et al 2013 Sci. Rep. 3 2657
- [6] Chow P K, Jacobs-Gedrim R B, Gao J, Lu T M, Yu B, Terrones H and Koratkar N 2015 ACS Nano 9 1520–7
- [7] Asari E, Kamioka I, Nakamura K G, Kawabe T, Lewis W A and Kitajima M 1994 Phys. Rev. B 49 1011–5
- [8] Ishioka K, Nakamura K G and Kitajima M 1995 Phys. Rev. B 52 2539–42
- [9] Richter H, Wang Z and Ley L 1981 Solid State Commun. 39 625–9
- [10] Chen J M and Wang C S 1974 Solid State Commun. 14857–60
- [11] Comas F, Trallero-Giner C and Riera R 1989 Phys. Rev. B 39 5907–12
- [12] Zi J, Zhang K M and Xie X D 1997 Phys. Rev. B 58 6712-5
- [13] Arora A K, Rajalakshmi M, Ravindran T R and Sivasubramanian V 2007 J. Raman Spectrosc. 38 604–17
- [14] Gouadec G and Colomban P 2007 Prog. Cryst. Growth Charact. Mater. 53 1–56
- [15] Martins Ferreira E H, Moutinho M V O, Stavale F, Lucchese M M, Capaz R B, Achete C A and Jorio A 2010 *Phys. Rev.* B 82 125429
- [16] Adu K, Williams M, Reber M, Jayasingha R, Gutierrez H and Sumanasekera G 2012 J. Nanotechnol. 2012 18
- [17] Gołasa K, Grzeszczyk M, Binder J, Bożek R, Wysmolek A and Babiński A 2015 AIP Adv. 5 077120
- [18] Mignuzzi S, Pollard A J, Bonini N, Brennan B, Gilmore I S, Pimenta M A, Richards D and Roy D 2015 Phys. Rev. B 91 195411
- [19] Zhao W J, Ghorannevis Z, Amara K K, Pang J R, Toh M, Zhang X, Kloc C, Tan P H and Eda G 2013 Nanoscale 5 9677–83
- [20] Sun L F et al 2013 Phys. Rev. Lett. 111 126801
- [21] Chakraborty B, Matte H, Sood A K and Rao C N R 2013 J. Raman Spectrosc. 44 92–6
- [22] Fan J H, Gao P, Zhang A M, Zhu B R, Zeng H L, Cui X D, He R and Zhang Q M 2014 J. Appl. Phys. 115 053527
- [23] Lee J U, Park J, Son Y W and Cheong H 2015 Nanoscale 7 3229–36
- [24] Mcdevite N T, Zabinski J S, Donley M S and Bultman J E 1994 Appl. Spectroc. 48 733–6
- [25] Qiao X F, Li X L, Zhang X, Shi W, Wu J B, Chen T and Tan P H 2015 Appl. Phys. Lett. 106 223102
- [26] Tan P H, Deng Y and Zhao Q 1998 Phys. Rev. B 58 5435-9
- [27] Li Q Q, Zhang X, Han W P, Lu Y L, Shi W, Wu J B and Tan P H 2015 Carbon 85 221–4

- [28] Campbell I H and Fauchet P M 1986 Solid State Commun. 58 739-741
- [29] Zi J, Zhang K and Xie X 1997 Phys. Rev. B 55 9263–6
- [30] Molina-Sánchez A and Wirtz L 2011 Phys. Rev. B 84 155413
- [31] Terrones H et al 2014 Sci. Rep. 4 4215
- [32] Tan P H, Xu Z Y, Luo X D, Ge W K, Zhang Y, Mascarenhas A, Xin H P and Tu C W 2006 Appl. Phys. Lett. 89 101912
- [33] Golasa K, Grzeszczyk M, Leszczynski P, Faugeras C, Nicolet A A L, Wysmolek A, Potemski M and Babinski A 2014 Appl. Phys. Lett. 104 092106
- [34] Zhao W J, Ghorannevis Z, Chu L, Toh M, Kloc C, Tan P H and Eda G 2013 ACS Nano 7 791–7
- [35] Berkdemir A et al 2013 Sci. Rep. 3 1755
- [36] Frey G L, Tenne R, Matthews M J, Dresselhaus M S and Dresselhaus G 1998 J. Mater. Res. 13 2412–7
- [37] Peimyoo N, Shang J, Yang W, Wang Y, Cong C and Yu T 2014 Nano Res. 8 1210–21
- [38] Nan H Y et al 2014 ACS Nano 8 5738–45
- [39] Yu P Y and Cardona M 2005 Fundamentals of Semiconductors: Physics and Materials Properties (Berlin: Springer) 3rd rev